

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 01/31/2002 MR-25PCT 09/831,996 Thomas Hoell 2238 EXAMINER 26875 7590 05/20/2004 WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP JUNG, WILLIAM C 2700 CAREW TOWER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **441 VINE STREET** CINCINNATI, OH 45202 3737 DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\mathcal{A}^{*}				
	Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•		09/831,996	HOELL ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		William Jung	3737	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M	arch 2004.		
·	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>18-33</u> is/are pending in the application.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>25-33</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>18-24</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
-	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) M Interview Summary		
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate. <u>13</u> . Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Francisco (10 102)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I, claim(s) 18-24, drawn to navigation system to track a device in MRI or CT base imaging system, which belongs to class 600, subclass 407.

Specie II, claim(s) 25-29, drawn to fiducial markers in MRI or CT based imaging system where the tracking of an object in the region of interest is in reference to the coordinates determined from the fiducial marker, which belongs in Class 600, subclass 414.

Specie III, claim(s) 30-33, drawn to insertion of an apparatus, a catheter as claimed in claims 13-16 with sensor to provide tracking for navigation during surgical procedure, which belongs in Class 600, subclass 424.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/831,996

Art Unit: 3737

Ŋ

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. During a telephone conversation with C. Richard Eby (Reg. No. 28,854) on May 14, 2004 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Specie I described above, claims 18-24. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 25-33 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because 150 words or 15 lines. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/831,996

Art Unit: 3737

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

6. Claims 18-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Shahidi* (US 6,167,296).

Shahidi anticipates all claimed features in claims 18 and 20-24. Shahidi disclose a volumetric image navigation to assist surgical procedure by tracking surgical device. Specifically, in figure 1-3, 6, and 8, Shahidi illustrates a navigation system including an image database 301 receive and load images of patient from image acquisition system such as MRI, CT, Pet, etc., computer with a monitor 201 and 102, for displaying image data, tracking device 402, 502 with constant DC magnetic field from MRI, a pointer 113 navigation instrument with aforementioned magnetic field detecting position associated with the pointer navigation instrument, which is directly linked with image update 407 from the image tracking, means for extracting anatomical features (segmentation unit 615 in figure 6), and menu-guided control of the system to control the tracking of the pointer navigation instrument (col. 5, line 30 – col. 7, line 65; col. 8, line 18 – col. 9, line 50).

Application/Control Number: 09/831,996

Art Unit: 3737

Ŋ

Page 5

Claim 19: Shahidi illustrates in figure 9-14 where the CT or MRI system is used to tracking navigation instrument to provide pre-operation image data from a craniotomy.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cosman (6,006,126), Bass et al (US 6,106,464), and Bucholz (US 5,871,445 and US 5,851,183)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William Jung whose telephone number is 703-605-4364. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 703-308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

WI

May 13, 2004

ANGELA D. SYKES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

angel Dely